08 C 1082

JUDGE GETTLEMAN MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHENKIER

EXHIBIT A

EEOC FORM 5 (5/01)					
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charç	je Presented To:	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):		
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act	X	FEPA			
Statement and other information before completing this form.	X	EEOC HUD-	2007-04995		
Illinois Departmen	t Of Human R		and EEOC		
State or local Agency, if any					
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone (Incl. Area			
Ms. Laura A. VanTholen		(630) 664-462	22 05/22/1982		
Street Address City, State and Z!P Code					
	land, IL 601				
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprentice Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULA		State or Local Government	Agency That I Believe		
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)		
Cutting Edge Millwork, Inc.		15+	(847) 888-9746		
Street Address City, Sta	ate and ZIP Code				
1160 Jansen Farm Drive Elgi	n, IL 60123				
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)		
Street Address City, Sta	ate and ZIP Code				
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)	DATE(S) DISCR Earliest	RIMINATION TOOK PLACE Latest			
RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION	NATIONAL ORI	GIN A	006 Name 1 27 20		
X RETALIATION AGE X DISABILITY	OTHER (Specify belo		006 - November 27, 20		
CONTINUING ACTION					
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):	<u> </u>				
		The Fact of a region of a comme			
	RECEIVED EEOO				
(SEE ATTACHED)					
(ODD ATTAON)	MAY - 9) 2007			
CHICAGODISTRICTOFFICE					
			'		
	NOTABY Who	n necessary for State and Loca	al Agency Peguirements		
will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate		il necessary for State and Loca	a Agency Nequirements		
fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	Lewess or affir	m that I have read the above	ve charge and that it is true to		
		m that I have read the abov knowledge, information an	ve charge and that it is true to ad belief.		
γ	SIGNATURE OF	COMPLAINANT			
& H					
x 05/04/07 x au	SUBSCRIBED A (month, day, yea	.ND SWORN TO BEFORE ME nr)	THIS DATE		
Date Charging Party Signature	_ `				
	I				

Laura Van Tholen v. Cutting Edge Millwork, LLC Page 1 of 5

- I. Respondent discriminated against, harassed and unlawfully terminated Complainant on the basis of her infertility treatments in violation of the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. §2000e (k) and the Illinois Human Rights Act, 775 ILCS 5/2-101 et. seq. The discrimination and harassment has been ongoing and continuous in nature for a period of time commencing in August of 2006 and continuing until her unlawful termination on November 27, 2006.
 - A. Complainant was an employee of Respondent from August 23, 2004 through the date of her unlawful termination on November 27, 2006.
 - B. Complainant is female. Complainant began treatments for infertility in order to get pregnant in August of 2006.
 - C. Complainant was an excellent employee who performed all of her job responsibilities in an outstanding manner.
 - D. On or about August of 2006, Complainant began treatments for infertility to become pregnant. These treatments required Complainant to arrive to work two hours late once a week.
 - E. Respondent employee and Complainant's direct supervisor, Kimberly Stage, approved Complainant's infertility treatment and the necessary time off to complete the treatment.
 - F. On or about August of 2006, Respondent began discriminating against and harassing Complainant because of her infertility treatments. The discrimination and harassment included, however was not limited to, the following:
 - 1. Complainant was left out of meetings she was previously included in;
 - 2. Complainant was constantly verbally harassed by other co-workers;
 - 3. On or about November 13, 2006, Respondent employee and Complainant's direct supervisor, Kimberly Stage, verbally disciplined Complainant for Complainant's tardiness stemming from her infertility treatments.
 - 4. On or about November 27, 2006, Respondent employee and Complainant's direct supervisor, Kimberly Stage, terminated Complainant's employment due to Complainant's infertility treatments.
 - 5. Complainant was otherwise treated differently (less favorably) in the terms, conditions and responsibilities of her job from the moment that Respondent knew that she began infertility treatments.

Laura Van Tholen v. Cutting Edge Millwork, LLC Page 2 of 5

- G. Complainant complained to Respondent on several occasions about the harassing and discriminatory treatment. Respondent was fully advised of the severity of the ongoing pregnancy discrimination, harassment, and hostile work environment.
- H. Despite Complainant's reports and Complainant's requests that Respondent take action to stop the harassment and discrimination, Respondent took no action to adequately investigate, stop, mitigate, prevent, address, or otherwise remediate the harassment and discrimination. Respondent intended to allow, ratified, and/or engaged in the pregnancy discrimination and harassment alleged herein.
- I. Respondent terminated from Complainant from her position because she was attempting to become pregnant. Complainant was terminated when she was in the middle of infertility treatments.
- J. There was no legitimate non-discriminatory basis for Complainant's termination.
- K. Complainant was replaced by a non-pregnant individual.
- L. Respondent's actions were knowing, intentional, and malicious. The discriminatory and harassing conduct, as alleged herein, was engaged in, instigated by, encouraged by, ratified by, and condoned by Respondent. Respondent knew and intended that its conduct violate applicable state and federal law.
- M. Complainant suffered severe injury and damage as a direct and proximate result of Respondent's discrimination, harassment, and unlawful termination of Complaint because of her attempts to become pregnant.
- II. Complainant was discriminated against by Respondents in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, §2 et seq., 42 U.S.C.A. §12101 et seq. ("ADA") and the Illinois Human Rights Act, 775 ILCS 5/2-101 et seq. ("IHR"). The discrimination and harassment was ongoing and continuous in nature for a period of time commencing continuing until Complainant's unlawful termination on or about November 27, 2006.
 - A. Complainant was an employee of Respondent from August of 2004 through the date of her unlawful and discriminatory termination in November of 2006.
 - B. Complainant was disabled/handicapped as defined under the ADA and IHR, because she was infertile, which substantially limits one or more major life activities. Complainant has a record of said physical impairment and/or was regarded by Respondent as having such impairment.
 - C. Respondent perceived Complainant as disabled/handicapped.

Laura Van Tholen v. Cutting Edge Millwork, LLC Page 3 of 5

- D. Complainant is a qualified individual with a disability/handicap under the ADA and IHRA. At all relevant times herein, Complainant was qualified, competent, and capable of performing all of the essential functions of her job with and/or without a reasonable accommodation.
- E. At all relevant times, Complainant advised Respondent of her disability/handicap and sought reasonable accommodation for her disability/handicap. Respondent initially granted a reasonable accommodation of coming into work two hours late once a week, but subsequently terminated Complainant for electing to take the reasonable accommodation.
- F. Respondent intended to discriminate against Complainant on the basis of her disability/handicap and permitted, ratified, encouraged, allowed, and otherwise created a work environment heavily charged with discrimination.
- G. Respondent discriminated against Complainant on the basis of her disability including, but not limited to the following:
 - 1. Complainant was left out of meetings she was previously included in;
 - 2. Complainant was constantly verbally harassed by other co-workers;
 - 3. On or about November 13, 2006, Respondent employee and Complainant's direct supervisor, Kimberly Stage, verbally disciplined Complainant for Complainant's tardiness, stemming from her infertility treatments, which was a reasonable accommodation granted to Complainant.
 - 4. On or about November 27, 2006, Respondent employee and Complainant's direct supervisor, Kimberly Stage, terminated Complainant's employment due to Complainant's infertility treatments.
 - 5. Complainant was otherwise treated differently (less favorably) in the terms, conditions and responsibilities of her job from the moment that Respondent knew that she began infertility treatments.
- H. There was no legitimate non-discriminatory basis for Respondent's discriminatory/harassing treatment and unlawful termination of Complainant.
- I. Respondent was fully aware of Complainant's disability/handicap and the accommodation that she was requesting. Respondent initially granted her the accommodation, and then subsequently terminated her employment for taking the accommodation.

Laura Van Tholen v. Cutting Edge Millwork, LLC Page 4 of 5

- J. Despite being fully aware of the disability/handicap discrimination, Respondent took no action to investigate, prevent, mitigate, remediate, stop, or otherwise address the ongoing harassment and discrimination.
- K. Complainant has suffered injury and damage as a direct and proximate result of Respondent's discriminatory conduct and unlawful termination in violation of the ADA and IHRA.
- L. Respondent was aware that the illegal and discriminatory/harassing actions described herein violated state and federal law. Respondent knowingly, intentionally and willfully violated state and federal law.
- M. As a direct and proximate result of Respondent's discriminatory conduct and unlawful termination, in violation of the ADA and IHRA, Complainant has suffered severe and egregious injury and damages.
- III. Respondent unlawfully retaliated against and terminated Complainant for reporting and opposing pregnancy discrimination that violated the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978, Title VII Civil Rights Act of 1964, §701 et. seq., as amended, 42 U.S.C.A. §2000 et.seq. and/or the Illinois Human Rights Act, 775 ILCS 5/2-101 et. seq.
 - A. Complainant was an employee of Respondent from August of 2004 through the date of her unlawful and discriminatory termination on November 27, 2006.
 - B. Throughout the course of her employment, Complainant was subjected to ongoing and continuous, uninvited and unwelcome pregnancy and disability discrimination. The pregnancy and disability discrimination is outlined in detail in Section I, and incorporated by reference as though fully stated herein.
 - C. Complainant made repeated reports/complaints of the pregnancy and disability discrimination as alleged herein to Respondent, which complaints/reports proved futile as the pregnancy and disability discrimination continued in an uninterrupted manner until the date of Complainant's unlawful termination on November 27, 2006. In 2006, Complainant reported discrimination harassment based on her pregnancy and disability by her coworkers to Kimberly Stage on several occasions, and Kimberly Stage assured Complainant that she would talk to the harassing employees but this proved futile as no action was taken to investigate, discipline and/or discharge any of the harassing employees, or otherwise remedy or rectify the outrageous, uninvited and unwelcome pregnancy and disability.
 - D. Respondent took no action to stop, remediate, correct, prevent, mitigate, and/or otherwise appropriately address the ongoing and continuous pregnancy and disability discrimination.

ADDENDUM TO CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION

Laura Van Tholen v. Cutting Edge Millwork, LLC
Page 5 of 5

- E. On November 27, 2006, just one month after Complainant reported/complained of the persistent, egregious, uninvited and unwelcome pregnancy and disability discrimination, Respondent unlawfully terminated Complainant because of the reports/complaints of pregnancy and disability discrimination.
- F. Respondent knew that its actions as alleged herein were in violation of federal and state law and Respondent knowingly and willfully violated federal and state law.
- G. Complainant suffered severe and egregious injury and damage as a direct and proximate result of Respondent's retaliatory treatment and termination of Complainant for reporting and opposing illegal pregnancy and disability discrimination.

EXHIBIT B

EEOC Form 161-B (3/98)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

Laura 76 Eas	FIED MAIL NO: 7099-3400-0018-8 Vantholen st Meadow Drive nd, IL 60112	3813-1978 CP ATTY.	From:	Chicago District Office 500 West Madison St Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60661			
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved who CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))						
EEOC Charge	e No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.			
440-2007-0	04995	Kirsten J. Peters, Investigator		(312) 353-8900			
(See also the additional information enclosed with this form.) NOTICE TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and/or the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA): This is your Notice of Right to Sue, issued under Title VII and/or the ADA based on the above-numbered charge. It has been issued at your request. Your lawsuit under Title VII or							
the ADA mu s charge will be	st be filed in a federal or state core lost. (The time limit for filing suit b	ourt <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u> of you pased on a state claim may be	r receipt different.	t of this notice; or your right to sue based on this)			
X	More than 180 days have passed	since the filing of this charge.					
	Less than 180 days have passed since the filing of this charge, but I have determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will be able to complete its administrative processing within 180 days from the filing of this charge.						
X	The EEOC is terminating its proce	essing of this charge.					
	The EEOC will continue to process this charge.						
Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA): You may sue under the ADEA at any time from 60 days after the charge was filed until 90 days after you receive notice that we have completed action on the charge. In this regard, the paragraph marked below applies to your case:							
	The EEOC is closing your case. 90 DAYS of your receipt of this	Therefore, your lawsuit under t Notice. Otherwise, your right t	he ADEA to sue ba	A must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u> used on the above-numbered charge will be lost.			
	The EEOC is continuing its handling of your ADEA case. However, if 60 days have passed since the filing of the charge, you may file suit in federal or state court under the ADEA at this time.						
Equal Pay Act (EPA): You already have the right to sue under the EPA (filing an EEOC charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.							
If you file suit	, based on this charge, please send	a copy of your court complaint t	o this offi	ice.			
		On behalf of	the Com	nmission			
Enclosures(s) — (John P. John P. Ro	Co	11/28/07 (Date Mailed)			
	-,	District Dire	•	,			

CUTTING EDGE MILLWORK, INC.

cc: